(ase 3:04-cv-00049-JWS	Document 362	Filed 08/06/2008	Page 1 of 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LUKE W. COLE, California CAROLINE FARRELL, Ca BRENT J. NEWELL, Califo Center on Race, Poverty, & 47 Kearny Street, Suite 804 San Francisco, CA, 94108 415/346-4179 • fax 415/34 NANCY S. WAINWRIGHT Law Offices of Nancy S. Wa 13030 Back Road, Suite 555 Anchorage, AK 99515-3538 907/345-5595 • fax 907/345 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Enot Adams, Andrew Koenig, Jen	lifornia Bar No. 202 ornia Bar No. 210,31 the Environment 6-8723 T, Alaska Bar No. 87 ainwright 5-3629 ch Adams, Jr., Leroy	711071	
10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA AT ANCHORAGE			
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ENOCH ADAMS, JR., LER ANDREW KOENIG, JERR DAVID SWAN and JOSEP Plaintiffs, v. TECK COMINCO ALASK Defendant. NANA REGIONAL CORPONORTHWEST ARCTIC BOUNDERS ARCTIC BOUNDERS AND ARCTIC BOUNDERS AND ARCTIC BOUNDERS ARCTIC BOUN	Y NORTON H SWAN, A INCORPORATEI ORATION and OROUGH,	PLAINTII MOTION ORDER	A04-49 (JWS) FFS' EMERGENCY FOR PROTECTIVE
24252627	Approximately 45 minutes ago, plaintiffs counsel became aware of a filing by defendant Teck Cominco that plaintiffs believe is a serious breach of the confidentiality of settlement negotiations and defendant's counsel's ethical obligations. To remedy this breach – the public disclosure of confidential settlement positions of the plaintiffs – plaintiffs request on an			
28	PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY M PROTECTIVE ORDER	•	premiuro premiu	15quov (m

emergency basis that the following documents be either stricken entirely from the Court's files or that public access to those files be limited under Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 5(e): Docket 361-2 (Affidavit of Sean Halloran, disclosing plaintiffs' positions in negotiations wholly unrelated to the dispute at issue here); Docket 361-3 (earlier version of Settlement Agreement that is superseded by that filed already with this Court at Docket 355-2); and Docket 361-5 (email of plaintiffs' counsel to mediator during settlement negotiations). Plaintiffs request that this protective order be invoked immediately to limit public disclosure of the confidential information.

The dispute in this case is only about the Consent Decree. The disclosure of other terms and conditions of the settlement agreement is wholly unnecessary and verges on bad faith behavior by defendant's counsel. Evidence Rule 408 prohibits the use of settlement communications to "impeach... conduct or statements made in compromise negotiations regarding the claim." F.R.E. Rule 408(a).

The disclosure of plaintiffs' settlement positions is necessarily prejudicial to the plaintiffs. Cole dec. ¶2. Allowing the public – including the press, which has been closely following this dispute, apparently through PACER¹ – access to the plaintiffs' internal communications with the mediator, as well as versions of the Settlement Agreement that were later superseded, is prejudicial to the plaintiffs, is unnecessary for the resolution of the current dispute before this Court, and can only have been done to create mischief by Teck Cominco. Cole dec. ¶3. The harm to the plaintiffs of having their internal deliberations published for anyone to read – particularly in the close-knit community of Kivalina – is considerable, Cole dec. ¶4, and should be enjoined by this Court by either striking the offending documents or causing them to be unavailable to anyone except parties to this lawsuit. If the Court takes the second option, plaintiffs request that it instruct defendants' counsel on his ethical obligations to maintain confidentiality of settlement discussions.

¹See, e.g., Tony Hopfinger and Joe Schneider, "Teck Cominco proposes \$120 million pipeline to end Alaska suit," Bloomberg.com (August 2, 2008) (quoting Teck Cominco's court filing of the previous day).

Document 362

Filed 08/06/2008

PROTECTIVE ORDER

tase 3:04-cv-00049-JWS